

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/502,313	02/11/2000	Keith Rose	1142	4981
7:	590 01/23/2004		EXAM	INER
Charles E Gotlieb			CAMPEN, KELLY SCAGGS	
540 University Suite 300	Avenue		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Palo Alto, CA 94301			3624	
			DATE MAILED: 01/23/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	۲.			
	09/502,313	ROSE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	_			
	Kelly Campen	3624				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app			_			
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl' - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply with, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may within the statutory minimum o will apply and will expire SIX (6), cause the application to become	ay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ Th	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims	Ex parte Quayle, 1935	6 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the application						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are rejected.	Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are rejected.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o Application Papers	r election requirement					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	or .					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accept		hy the Examiner				
Applicant may not request that any objection to th						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S	.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a	a)).				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	ic priority under 35 U.S	S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).				
a) The translation of the foreign language pro	ovisional application ha	as been received.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notic	view Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) e of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 3624

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stewart et a. (US 2003/0135457 A1) in view of D'Amico et a. (US 2001/0034641 A1) as applied in the prior office action. See prior office action for specific citations and reasoning.

Art Unit: 3624

Response to Amendment

While applicant claims there is an amendment to the application, none has been submitted. As such, applicant's reply is treated as a response to the office action and request for reconsideration.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 11-18-03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. See MPEP 706.02 to define what is prior art. If there is a claim to a provisional application, the effective filing date for that prior art is the filing date of the provisional application. While the applicant claims that they do not have access to the provisional application, that bears no weight in the effective filing date of prior art.

Art Unit: 3624

DETERMINING THE EFFECTIVE FILING DATE OF THE APPLICATION

The effective filing date of a U.S. application may be determined as follows:

- (A) If the application is a continuation or divisional of one or more earlier U.S. applications > or international applications < and if the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 120 > and 365(c), respectively, < have been satisfied, the effective filing date is the same as the earliest filing date in the line of continuation or divisional applications.
- (B) If the application is a continuation-in-part of an earlier U.S. application > or international application<, any claims in the new application not supported by the specification and claims of the parent application have an effective filing date equal to the filing date of the new application. Any claims which are fully supported under 35 U.S.C. 112 by the earlier parent application have the effective filing date of that earlier parent application.
- (C) If the application claims foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d) > or 365(a)<, the effective filing date is the filing date of the U.S. application, unless situation (A) or (B) as set forth above applies. The filing date of the foreign priority document is not the effective filing date, although the filing date of the foreign priority document may be used to overcome certain references. See MPEP § 706.02(b) and § 2136.05.
- (D) If the application **>properly claims benefit< under 35 U.S.C. 119(e) *>to< a provisional application, the effective filing date is the filing date of the provisional application > for any claims which are fully supported under the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112 by the provisional application.<

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

· Art Unit: 3624

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kelly Campen whose telephone number is (703) 308-0780. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vincent Millin can be reached on (703) 308-1065. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

ksc

VINCENT MILLIN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

Vine A Mille